Why Wilson Works

Studies Prove Wilson Effectiveness!

The evidence is in and it’s clear: The Wilson Reading System® (WRS), published for more than two decades, has been successfully implemented in public school districts, private clinics, and adult education settings across the country. Other Wilson programs, Fundations® for K-3, Just Words® for grades 4-12, and adult, and Wilson Fluency®/Basic, are based on these same proven WRS principles.

The following publications, citations, and studies provide Evidence of Effectiveness of Wilson® programs' direct, explicit, and multisensory instruction.

Publications

Bursuck, W., & Dickson, S. (1999). Implementing a model for preventing reading failure: A report from the field. Learning Disabilities Research & Practice, 14(4), 191-202. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc. WRS was selected for use in this study, which looked at models for improving reading instruction for at-risk students. Positive results were reported.


McPeak, L., & Trygg, L. (2007). The secondary literacy instruction and intervention guide. Mill Valley, CA: Stupski Foundation. The guide is intended to provide a replicable, district-level model that incorporates evidence-based and research-validated resources to meet the differentiated needs of struggling adolescents. It is designed around the context of the University of Kansas’ Content Literacy Continuum (CLC) model. Only programs that have met scientifically validated criteria are included in the matrix. WRS is designated as part of the National Secondary Literacy Intervention Program Inventory.


National Literacy Project. (2006). A resource guide for adolescent literacy: Prepared for the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. This guide outlines promising programs for struggling adolescent readers. The programs suggested in this guide are categorized by the competencies that they address and are described by criteria that can assist schools to select programs most beneficial for their students. WRS is included in the guide for phonics, fluency, and comprehension. In fact, WRS is the only program that the authors felt comfortable recommending for adolescent students with deficiencies in phonemic awareness and phonics. Guide available at www.maupinhouse.com/pdf/ResourceGuide.pdf


Wilson, B. A. (2011). Instruction for older students with a word-level reading disability. In J. Birsh (Ed.), *Multisensory teaching of basic language skills.* (3rd ed.). Baltimore, MD: Brookes Publishing Co. Provides a step-by-step guide for intensive instruction for older students that includes how to teach accuracy and automaticity of single-word reading; application of skills and fluency with controlled and decodable text; and development of vocabulary, background knowledge, and comprehension.


Citations

Education Commission of the States. (2002). *Reading/literacy–Programs and practices.* Denver, CO: Author. The ECS reviewed the Wilson Reading System and provided a description of the program. Please note, the review is not an endorsement by the Education Commission of the States. It is one of several reviews compiled to show the diversity of approaches schools are using to accomplish comprehensive reform.

Florida Center for Reading Research. (2003, 2004, 2007). *Fundations, Wilson Reading System,* and *Wilson Fluency/Basic.* Tallahassee, FL: Author. The Florida Center for Reading Research (FCRR), evaluated how reading curricula and materials align with current reading research. WRS, *Fundations,* and *Wilson Fluency/Basic* were reviewed by the FCRR research committee. The reports outline how the Wilson programs are aligned with research and list their strengths and weaknesses. No weaknesses were noted for any of these programs. Because the FCRR research committee no longer performs reviews, a report is not available for the most recent Wilson program, Just Words.

National Institute for Literacy (NIFL).

The following National Institute for Literacy (NIFL) resources identify Wilson as an appropriate program to use with adult learners with limited decoding skills.

*Assessment strategies & reading profiles: Three reading profiles.* (2007, November). After reviewing profiles of three adult learners, it was determined that for the individual with word-level deficits her “best hope for progress is with an individualized, sequential phonics program such as Lindamood or Wilson along with continued independent reading.” Available at http://lincs.ed.gov/readingprofiles/MC_Compare_Profiles.htm

*Webcasts from assessment to practice, Part 1: Research-based approaches to teaching reading to adults Q & A.* (2007, November). Prepared by John Kruidenier, Rosalind Davidson, and Susan McShane for the National Institute for Literacy. The authors identify Wilson as an exemplary program for teaching decoding with adults and recognize Wilson as the most widely used such program for adults.
Available at http://lincs.ed.gov/webcasts/assesspractice/webcast0928_QA.html

*Adult reading components study (ARCS) (NCSALL Research Brief).* (2003, November). Cambridge, MA: NCSALL. ARCS researchers J. Strucker and R. Davidson used cluster analysis to identify ten clusters—or profiles—from the 676 ARCS ABE participants. For ARCS Research Profile 9: Beginners and Research Profile 10: Beginners with Rate Impairment, the researchers outlined that “their best chance to progress is with a structured one-on-one phonics program such as Lindamood Bell, Orton Gillingham, or Wilson, all of which can be adapted for small-group instruction.”


*Building reading proficiency at the secondary level: A guide to resources.* Austin, TX: Southwest Educational Development Laboratory. Wilson Reading System is identified as a program that aligns with findings that build reading proficiency at the secondary level.

Southwest Education Development Laboratory and Harvard University. (2003).

*Afterschool curriculum choice: Literacy resources.* Austin, TX: Southwest Educational Development Laboratory. Developed by PEAR, the Program in Education, Afterschool and Resiliency at Harvard University, and the SEDL National Partnership for Quality Afterschool Learning, this online guide identifies resources selected based on proven use in afterschool settings. Fundations and WRS were included as high-quality literacy resources for afterschool programs.


*TIME for teachers online for K-3 educators.* Winooski, VT. Barbara Wilson is shown demonstrating WRS principles of syllable instruction as part of an online course designed to help teachers implement research-based best practices in reading instruction.

University of Georgia. (2000).

*Teaching reading and functional writing to adults with learning disabilities—Basic level.* (Video). Athens, GA: PBS Adult Learning Service. This two-hour video is the first of two PBS satellite events taking an integrated approach to teaching reading and writing to adults with learning disabilities. Demonstration lesson of WRS with a group of adults is included.

Wake Forest University. (2002).

Pre- and post-intervention test data was analyzed by Wake Forest University Bowman School of Medicine. Results indicated that there was improvement across all Woodcock Reading Mastery subtests. Students with decoding deficits showed reading growth after 60 hours of direct, multisensory, structured language teaching with WRS. Word Attack subtests yielded an 11-point average improvement in age-referenced standards scores. WRS students showed a 5.78 standard score gain in the Total Reading Cluster after only 60 lessons. It was also found that the program is effective in urban schools, and that older students benefited from WRS intervention as much as younger students.


*Wilson Reading System (WRS) Level I Certification Reports.* Pre-intervention and post-intervention test data is compiled in association with school district implementation. The pre-post test data has consistently demonstrated student improvement in all areas of reading on standardized test measures.
Scientifically Based Research Studies

Using curriculum-based measurement data to monitor the effectiveness of the Wilson Reading System for students with disabilities: An exploratory study. *International Journal on Disability and Human Development, 15*(1), 93-100. This study confirmed the effectiveness of the Wilson Reading System® (WRS) in fostering fluency and comprehension skills among students with disabilities. Key findings demonstrated statistically significant growth in oral reading fluency and reading comprehension among students receiving one year of WRS as measured by AIMSWeb curriculum-based measurement (R-CBM and R-MAZE). The study examined 51 students with disabilities in grades 2-7. Approximately 80% of students qualified for free and reduced lunch and 52% were minority students.


Altering cortical connectivity: Remediation-induced changes in the white matter of poor readers. *Neuron, 64*, 624-631. In this clinical study, conducted at the Center for Cognitive Brain Imaging at Carnegie Mellon University, Timothy A. Keller and Marcel Adam Just examined whether 100 hours of intensive remedial instruction affected the cerebral white matter of 8- to 10-year-old poor readers. The instruction resulted in significantly increased fractional anisotropy (FA) in the cerebral white matter. The FA increase was also correlated with improvement in phonological decoding ability. The Wilson Reading System was one of the programs used in this study.

Modifying the brain activation of poor readers during sentence comprehension with extended remedial instruction: A longitudinal study of neuroplasticity. *Neuropsychologia, 46*, 2580-2592. This study, conducted at the Center for Cognitive Brain Imaging at Carnegie Mellon University, used fMRI to gauge the impact of intensive remedial instruction on the brain. The study found that, with remedial instruction, the brain of a poor reader can be permanently rewired to function similarly to the brain of a good reader. The Wilson Reading System was one of the programs selected to be used for instruction.

Monitoring the effectiveness of the Wilson Reading System for students with disabilities: One district’s example. *Exceptionality: A Special Education Journal, 20*(1), 58-70. A school district published the results of their evaluation of the Wilson Reading System (WRS) for use with 4th and 5th grade students who had an educational disability and who required special reading instruction based on their Individual Education Program (IEP). Prior to receiving WRS, these students had failed to make gains in reading skills in response to a balanced literacy program. This group of students received WRS instruction in addition to their balanced literacy program. During the two years they received WRS, growth in decoding, reading fluency, and reading comprehension was monitored, and appropriate and statistically significant gains in these areas were found. The researchers noted that, “One of the most significant findings in this study was the substantial growth in reading comprehension skills for these struggling readers...Given that these students had never shown average growth before this project began, we were encouraged by their success.” Students also demonstrated statistically significant gains in the rate and accuracy with which they read simple sentences. The school district concluded that WRS is appropriate for meeting the needs of students with an educational disability and who require special reading instruction.

National assessment of Title I, Interim report. Volume II: Closing the reading gap: First year findings from a randomized trial of four reading interventions for striving readers (NCEE 2006-4002). Washington, DC: National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. An esteemed scientific advisory board selected the Wilson Reading System (WRS) to be included in its landmark study that met scientifically rigorous design standards. In order to test a specific hypothesis about the impact of word-level instruction, researchers requested that the comprehension and vocabulary components of the WRS program be excluded. Therefore, WRS instruction in the study focused on word-level skills only, and WRS students in the study showed a significant impact in this domain. Further explanation from the researchers about the partial implementation is available at: https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/pubs/20064000/gap_b.asp.

**WWC intervention report—Wilson Reading System (WRS).** Washington, DC: Institute of Education Sciences, U.S. Department of Education. The Wilson Reading System (WRS) was one of only 28 programs of 171 programs examined by What Works Clearinghouse that met evidence screens. WWC reports on previously completed studies but does not independently evaluate programs. The WRS rating is based solely on the Haan Foundation’s *Closing the reading gap study*. In this study, a modified version of WRS was implemented that focused only on the word-level components (Torgesen et al., 2006). The investigators reported statistically significant effects in the area of alphabetics. The statistical significance of these findings was confirmed by the What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) and considered substantively important according to WWC criteria. It should be noted, however, that comprehension and vocabulary components of the Wilson Reading System were not taught at the request of Torgesen et al. for the purposes of the study. Further explanation from the researchers about the partial implementation of WRS can be at https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/pubs/20064000/gap_b.asp. As a result, the WWC fluency/comprehension rating is based on a modified version of the Wilson Reading System where comprehension and vocabulary components were excluded. Wilson Language Training has published a paper on Keys to Understanding the WWC Report at http://tinyurl.com/j6jwme3

**Endorsements**

**International Dyslexia Association**

The International Dyslexia Association (IDA) focuses on the complex issues of dyslexia, promoting effective teacher preparation and intervention strategies for students with dyslexia as one of their goals. Following an independent review process, Wilson Language Training® and Wilson® Accredited Partners received accreditation by the IDA as an Independent Teacher Training Program, indicating our alignment to IDA’s *Knowledge and Practice Standards for Teachers of Reading*. This recognition demonstrates that Wilson certified educators are well-qualified to provide instruction to students with dyslexia based on recognized best practices and standards in the field.

**Council of Administrators of Special Education**

The Council of Administrators of Special Education (CASE) is an international professional educational organization whose mission is to provide leadership and support to members by shaping policies and practices which impact the quality of education. After a rigorous evaluation conducted by the CASE Publications and Products Review Committee (PPRC) and approved by the CASE Executive Committee, Wilson is proud to announce that the Wilson Reading System® (WRS) is endorsed by CASE.

**State Reading Initiatives**

**Arizona: Reading Endorsement by Arizona Department of Education: K-8, 6-12, or K-12**

In 2013, the Arizona Department of Education endorsed Wilson Reading System Certification as a professional learning program that satisfies Option B of the state’s requirements for the Reading Endorsement for reading or literacy specialists, coaches, and interventionists. WRS Certification satisfies the requirement of a supervised field experience or practicum in reading. It can be applied to Reading Endorsements for grades K-8, 6-12, and K-12.

**California State Board of Education: Meeting Social Content Standards**

Fundations®, Just Words®, Wilson Reading System®, and Wilson Fluency®/Basic have been approved by the California State Board of Education as meeting the state’s social content standards.

**Colorado: Approved Intervention Programs**

The READ Act requires the Colorado Department of Education to identify quality reading instructional programs and professional development programs for optional use by local education agencies. Following the review process, the Wilson Reading System was approved as an Intervention Program, Fundations and Wilson Fluency/Basic were approved as Supplemental Programs, and Wilson Language Training was approved for Professional Development.

**Louisiana: Law for the Education of Dyslexic Students**

The Wilson Reading System (WRS) is one of six multisensory structured language programs identified as an effective program in the remediation of dyslexia. WRS is listed as a suggested program in the implementation guidelines for the Louisiana Law for the Education of Dyslexic Students.

**North Carolina: State Improvement Project**

The purpose of the North Carolina State Improvement Project is to establish program support services to significantly improve the performance and success of students with disabilities in North Carolina. Wilson Reading System is one of the reading programs identified for implementation.

**Ohio: Approved List of Research-Based Reading Instruction Programs**

As part of the Third Grade Reading Guarantee, the Ohio Department of Education reviewed programs to ensure that they aligned with the state’s reading competencies. Wilson Reading System was reviewed and added to the list of approved programs.

©2009, 2011 WILSON LANGUAGE TRAINING CORPORATION. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED. (L017_050317)
Pennsylvania: Governor’s Institute for Educator Partnerships on Reading
This Department of Education publication cites the Wilson Reading System as a resource to teach reading with structured phonics. It also includes the Wilson Reading System’s Ten Critical Points.

Texas: Phonics Grant/Texas Regional Education Service Centers
The Wilson Reading System and Fundations are approved reading programs a school may select to support and enhance the implementation of the state Teacher Reading Academies for Grades K-3.

Evidence from Schools

Building and Sustaining Capacity for Evidence-Based Literacy Instruction Leads to Improved Student
Profiled by the RTI Action Network, Salisbury Elementary School is a Title I school in the Triton Regional School District (MA) with 575 students from pre-k through grade 6. Students with disabilities make up 12% of the population. Teachers and administrators embarked on a process for organizing student success in literacy by using Fundations to implement a multi-tiered system of supports. Visit http://rtinetwork.org/voices-from-the-field/entry/2/205 for the complete profile.

Public School 380: Brooklyn, New York
Public School 380 in Brooklyn, NY, was also profiled by the RTI Action Network for improved student outcomes and a reduction in unnecessary referrals to special education as a result of establishing an RTI framework using Fundations in Tiers 1 and 2. Visit http://www.rtinetwork.org/voices-from-the-field/entry/2/92 for the complete profile.

Safety Nets for Struggling Readers in High School
Principal Sharon Olken and Reading Specialist Marlies McCallum of Gateway High School in San Francisco, CA, explain how they help struggling readers by identifying their needs and providing interventions with the Wilson Reading System (WRS). Gateway High School was profiled in the U.S. Department of Education program, Doing What Works, for implementing WRS as a research-based instructional practice. Visit http://dwwlibrary.wested.org/media/safety-nets-for-struggling-readers-in-high-school for more detailed information.

For links to reports and additional information on these and other references, visit www.wilsonlanguage.com.